Vol-68-Issue-1-January -2020

Changing Workforce and Farm Force in Sheikhpura District: A Geographical Study

Dr. Devendra Sharma M.A., Ph.D.

Post-Doctoral Research Scholar University Department of Geography B.R.A. Bihar University, Muzaffarpur

Abstract:

TheDistrict of Sheikhpura, famous for its historico-physical sanctity, is located in the central part of the Bihar State. It lies between 24016'30" N. latitude and 25003'30" north latitude and 840 17'35" E. longitude and 85023'30" east longitude. It is bounded on the north by the parts of the districts of Nalanda and Lakhisarai and on the south by Nawada and Jamui districts. On the east while Lakhisarai district delimits the study area and Nalanda and Nawada districts delimit the study region; on the west. The district consists of six blocks comprising Barbigha, Shekhopur Sarai, Sheikhpura, GhatKusumbha, Ariari and Chewara. The number of inhabited villages are 341 besides 2 towns of Sheikhpura and Barbigha in the districts having 85499 households.

Key Words: Sheikhpura, Work force, Cultivation, Population, Farm Force.

Introduction:

The District is an integral part of the Middle Ganga Plain. Its 84.43% of itstotal area is covered by alluvium and against 11.46% by Pre-Cambrian granite and remaining 4.11% by Pre-Cambrian schist and quartzite. The crest of the southern highlands marks existence of an east-west warp while a depression starting near Kauakol in the south-westproceeds in the east and north-east direction towards Sheikhpura hills. The central parts of Sheikhpura district is undulating and merges into hills with beltof brushwood jungle at their base. Majorpart of this tract is high, barren and uncultivable. The

northern part of the district consists of tal, diara and alluvial plain, the basic and introductory information about Sheikhpura district.

The basic and introductoryinformation about Sheikhpura district asaccording to the census 2011 that wasprocures in unpublished form Sheikhpura. Thegeographical area fromDistrict Census Office, Sheikhpura districtis 689 sq.km. in which 657.82 sq.kmcomprising 95.47 % belongs the ruralarea and the rest 31.18sq.km.Comprising 4.53% area is urban. Totalnumber of households in the district in 2001 was 78958 in which 66759households comprising 84.55% was inrural areas and 12199 comprising 15.45% was in the urban areas. The highest number (4869) of householdscomprising 31.50% of the total in the district was in the block of Sheikhpuraout of which 73.93% was in the ruralareas and the rest 26.07% in the urbanagglomeration of Sheikhpura. Thesecond highest number of households(17240) comprising 21.83% of the district is found in Barbigha block where 66.85% households are in rural areasand rest 33.15% households are in urbanagglomeration of Barbigha. Ariari blockstands third with 13528 households onlyin rural areas that stands 17.13% in the district. Similarily, Chewara block standsfourth with 9151 households only inrural areas that stands 11.59% in the district. Shekhopur Sarai block stands fifth with 8795 households only in ruralareas that stands 11.14% in the district. The lowest number of households is found in Ghat Kusumbha block wherethere are only 5375 households andcovers 6.81% of the total households ofthe district. The total number ofinhabited villages are 321 while there are only two urban agglomerations. The description of population, 2001 will be presented in the chapter concerned. The growing gap between the birth and deathrate over the last 30 years during 1991-2011 owing to the rapid decline in thelatter while the former being constanthas shown its remarkable effect on theage-composition of population. It hasbeen rather more drastically expressedinto the %age decline in the work-forcein Sheikhpura district. Here, with a viewto revealing the effect of the rapidgrowth of population on the work-force, the livelihood patterns of 1991 and 2011 have been taken into account. The problem however, is of the incomparablecensus figure regarding occupational composition as mentioned earlier in this chapter. In order to make it comparable the main and marginal workers of 2011have been summed up top develop intoworkers as recorded in the P.C.H. of1991. It can further be noted from thetable 3.8 (a) that the

marginal workerscontribute to only a fraction of the totalworkers in 1991. However to avoidinaccuracies it has been added to themain workers.

An interpretation of the table willthrow light on the changing economictraits of the population of Sheikhpuradistrict.

The workers in Sheikhpura districtwere 53.56% of the total population in 1991 including 40.47% main worker and 13.09% marginal worker. The corresponding % age workers in 2001(the main and marginal workers takentogether) has gone sharply down to 36.99% including 28.83% main worker and 8.16% marginal worker and in 2011it slightly increased to 38.03% including 28.98% main worker and 9.05% marginal worker. A comparative analysis shows spatial variations in the strength of workers as % of total population during 1991-2011. It is the range of over 13% in the district. The highest 13% age of main worker was found in Ghat Kusumbha block ie, 42.85% followed by Shekhopur Sarai (42-35%), Sheikhpura (40.28%), Chewara (39.96%), Barbigha (39.14%), and lastly by Ariari (38.24%). (In 2001 the % age of the main worker decreased.

In 2001, the highest %age of mainworker was found in Chewara (32.50% followed by Ariari (30.92%), GhatKusumbha block ie-29.74% ShekhopurSarai (28.66%), Sheikhpura (28.07%) and lastly by Barbigha (26.16%).2011, the %age of the main workeincreased slightly to 28.98% in the district. The highest %age of mainworker was found in Ariari (32.58%) blockie.(31.25%), followed by GhatKusumbha Shekhopur (29.37%), Chewara (27.24%), Barbigha (27.21%) and lastly by Sheikhpura (26.21%). Similarly, the highest % age of marginalworker in 1991 was found in GhatKusumbha block ie. 15.87% followed by Ariari (14.52%), Sheikhpura (12.75%), Shekhopur Sarai (12.62%), Chewara(11.52%) and lastly by Barbigha(11.24%). In 2001 the %age of the mainworker decreased. The highest %age ofmarginal worker in 2001 was found inGhatKusumbha block (13.16%) followed by Ariari (11-62%), Shekhopur Sarai (7.77%), Barbigha (7.16%), Sheikhpura (6.96%), and lastly by Chewara (5.74%). In 2011, The highest%age of marginal worker in 2011, wasfound in Ariari (12.69%), GhatKusumbha block (10.53%) followed by Shekhopur Sarai (9.58%), Barbigha(8.29%), Chewara (7.24%), and lastlyby Sheikhpura block (5.98%). The difference may be seen in the following table.

ISSN: 0474-9030

Vol-68-Issue-1-January -2020

DistSheikhpura CHANGING WORK-FORCE (%)

S.N.	Block	(1991-2001)		(2001-2011)	
		Main	Marginal	Main	Marginal
		worker	Worker	worker	Worker
1	Barbigha	12.98	4.08	-1.05	-1.13
2	Shekhopur Sarai	13.69	4.85	-0.71	-1.81
3	Sheikhpura	12.21	5.79	1.86	0.98
4	GhatKusumbha	13.11	2.71	-1.51	2.63
5	Ariari	7.32	2.9	-1.66	-1.07
6	Chewara	7.46	5.78	5.26	-1.5
	Dist. Total	11.13	4.35	0.37	-0.32

CHANGING WORK-FORCE AND FARM – FORCE (2001-2011)

	Sample Block	Barbigha	% age	Shekhopur	% age
				Sarai	
2001	Cultivators	8535	30.31	6814	38.38
	Ag. Labourers	12977	46.08	6964	39.23
	HHI. Workers	1666	5.92	1423	8.02
	Other Workers	4985	17.70	2551	14.37
	Total	28163	100.00	17752	100.00
2011	Cultivators	7535	29.02	6234	34.85
	Ag. Labourers	10258	39.51	6547	36.60
	HHI. Workers	1983	7.64	1954	10.92
	Other Workers	6185	23.82	3151	17.62
	Total	25961	100.00	17886	100.00
	Change (%)	-7.82		0.75	

The difference between 1991 and 2001 hasbeen recorded in decreasing pattern. The percentage of main workerin the district that was 40.47% in 1991, decreased to 28.83% in 2001 showing the decrease of 11.13%. This decreasewas recorded higher than the district in the blocks of Shekhopur Sarai (13.69%), Ghatkusumbha (13.11%), Barbigha(12.98%) and Sheikhpura (12.21%) butlower in the blocks of Chewara (7.46%)and Ariari (7.32%). Similarily, in 2001-2011, differences was seen in the district that increased from 28.83% to 28.98% in 2011 showing slight increase of 0.37%. The higher percentage increase than the district in 2011, was found in the blocks of Chewara (5.26%) and Sheikhpura (1.86%) while the lower percentage increase than the district was found in the blocks of Shekhopur Sarai(-0.71%), Barbigha (-1.05%), Ghatkusumbha (-1.51%) and Ariari (-1.66%).

The differnece in the percentage ofmarginal workers between 1991 and 2001 has been recorded in decreasing pattern. The percentage of marginal worker in the district that was 13.09% in 1991 that decreased to 8.16% in 2001 showing the decrease of 4.35%. This decrease was recorded higher than the district in the blocks of Sheikhpura (5.79%), Chewara (5.78%) and Shekhopur Sarai (4.85%) but lower in the blocks of Barbigha (4.08%), Ariari (2.90%) and Ghatkusumbha (2.71%). Similarily in 2001-2011, difference was seen in the district that increased from 8.16% to 9.05% in 2011 showing slighting slighting of -0.32%. The higher percentage increase than the district in 2011, was found in the blocks of Ghatkusumbha (2.63%) and Sheikhpura (0.98%) while the lower percentage increase than the district was found in the blocks of Chewara (-1.5%). Shekhopur Sarai (-1.81%), Barbigha (-1.13%), and Ariari (-1.07%).

The Changing Farm-Force:

The cultivators plus agriculturallabourers constitute the farm-force or farm workers in the occupational composition of population. The following table concerned illustrates the strength of farm-workers as % age of the total workers or total work-force according to the census of 1991 and 2001. "It is evident that on average 84.42% of the total workers in 1971 in Sheikhpura district were engaged in agricultural activities. The corresponding strength is higher than district average in Chewara (90.20%), Barbigha (86.34%) and Sheikhpura (85.24%) but lower in the blocks of Shekhopur Sarai (83.89%), Ghat Kusumbha (78.76%) and Ariari (80.28%). It shows an outstanding strength of the agricultural workers in in 1971. The strength of total workers in 2001 in the district declined to 76.33%. The percentage of total worker is higher than district average in Chewara (85.00%), Ariari (80.28%). Sheikhpura (77.89%), Shekhopur Sarai (77.61%) and Barbigha

(76.38%) but lower in theblock of GhatKusumbha (68.05%). Itshows that the total workers migratedfrom the region. There becamedifference in the percentage of totalworkers from 5% to 10%. In the wholedistrict, the %age of decline of totalworkers was -8.09%. The difference of of total worker is higher thandistrict average in GhatKusumbha (-10.71%), Barbigha (-9.96%) and Ariari(-8.55%) but lower in the block of Sheikhpura (-7-35%), Shekhopur Sarai (-6.28%) and Chewara (-5.20%).

Changing %age Strength of the Cultivators (1971-2011):

The cultivators in Sheikhpura districtas %age of the total workers havedeclined from 43.66% (1971) to 40.76%, in 2001. It is common to each of theblocks individually as well. Themagnitude of decline (1971 to 2001) inblocks however is not the same everywhere. It is by far the most conspicuousin Sheikhpura block from 45,92% to41.05%, Chewara 45.68% in 1971 to44.10% in 2001, Barbigha 32.37% to30.31% but lower in the blocks ofShekhopur Sarai 43.58% to 38.38%, GhatKusumbha 34.52% to 30.43% andAriari 54.62% to 46.23%. From 2001to 2011, the percentage of cultivators declined from 39.38% to 36.30%. It is by far the most conspicuous in Chewara 44.10% in 2001 to 40.29% in 2011, Sheikhpura block from 41.05% toi 37.54%, Barbigha 30.31% to 29.02%, 'Shekhopur Sarai 38.38% to 34.85%... GhatKusumbha 30.43% to 27.69% and Ariari 46.23% to 42.79%.

It is worth-mention that some of these blocks characterize urban functions while others are purely rural in their economic activities. That means that in 1general there is a decrease in the %agestrength of cultivators with rather startingdecrease in the components whereinnon-agricultural activities are dominantwith urban population.

Changing %age Strength of the Agricultural labourers:

An inspection of the table willfurther reveal obvious spatial variations in the cumulative %age change in the strength of agricultural workers in Sheikhpura district (1971-2011). It shows that the agricultural workers as %age of the total work-farms for the district as a whole has increased from 40.76% in 1971 to 32.52% in 2011. In the spatial context however, the following variations are worthmention.

- 1. The corresponding %age strength of the agricultural workers has declined all the blocks of Barbigha, Sheikhpura, Shekhopur Sarai, Ghat Kusumbha, Ariari and Chewara.
- 2 Barbigha, Shekhopur Sarai, Sheikhpura, Ghat Kusumbha, Ariariand Chewara have 46.08%, 39.23%, 36.84%, 37.63%, 25.50% and 40.90% in 1971.
- 3 The corresponding strength in the blocks in 2011 was 39.51%,36.60%, 31.23%. 30.96%, 23.21% and 37.02%. that is likewise diminishing but it is of lower magnitude by comparison.

It is evident from the foregoingaccount that the agricultural workers as% of the total work-force has declined in the blocks having pre-existing urban and industrial activities since long. In general, there is an apparent increase rather moremarked in some of the anchats of the Sn. Section far from the urban centers of the district. The table 3.9c on the other handshows an opposite trend for the agricultural labourers. In majority of the blocks and other than those specialization in non-agricultural activities the increase however varies in magnitude from anchal in the district. The decline is visible only in Sheikhpura block in which activities other than farming abound in the occupational composition of population. Though it is possible through either of the following.

- (a) The growing non-viability of cultivators with regard to the size of operational land-holding declining with the rapid increase of its co-sharers emanating from, the rapidincrease in population may be one of the causes of the decrease incultivators and increase inagricultural labours.
- (b) Recently in the wake of Green, white and Blue revolutions more and opportunities of livehood are becoming available at the farms. As result there may be some movement of workers from the non-agricultural activities to the agricultural one.

There is celear-cut increase in the %age strength of agricultural labourers in all the anchals of the district. The mangnitude of the enhanced strength however remarkably varies spatially from as low as 5.29% in Jamui to as high 29.28% in Dharaharaanchals. The anchals in this range are of Jamalpur and Lakhisarai in the Nn. Section and Halsi, Sono, Khaira, Chakai,

Lakshmipur and Sikhandra in the Sn. Section. Majority of these anchals contain only rural population. Here it may be inferred that on account of the during the post gradually increasing employment period the %age strength of agricultural labourers has fairly in the district.

Reference:

- 1. Agricultural Geography of Saran Plain by Singh S/B Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, B.R.A.B.U. Muzaffarpur 1986.
- 2. Population problem in North-Eastern Bihar By Singh C.D. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis B.R.A.B.U., Muzaffarpur, 1991 p-117.
- 3. Quantitative Technique in Urban Social Geography ByTimms D. 1970 cited in Frontiers in geographical Teaching edited by R. 1 chorely and P. HaggettMethean Co. London PP 239-65.
- 4. Population Geography, by Clerk John 1, 1972 Purgamon Press, Oxford.
- 5. The pattern of Sex-Ration in Newzealand By Franklin S.H. 1956, Economic Geography, Vol. 32
- 6. Trewartha G.T. a case for the population Geography Annals of the association geographerspp 71-77.
- 7. Harrison C.A. 1964 Human Biology Oxford University Press Newyok p. 117.
- 8. Benghel G.S. Geography of human Resource, mohit Publication New Delhi 1995.
- 9. Census of India, Abstract, 1981 series 4, p 6