

## On Neutrosophic Triplet Metric Space

**Krishan**

M.Phil Scholar (Open Researcher), Mathematics

Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak, Haryana, India

Email ID: krishan20kashyap16@gmail.com

### Abstract

*This paper represents neutrosophic triplet  $v$ -generalized metric space and neutrosophic triplet partial metric space.*

**Keywords:** Neutrosophic Triplet Metric Space.

### Introduction

In 2018, Sahin and Kargin [58] defined neutrosophic triplet theory in neutrosophy,  $v$ -generalized metric and neutrosophic triplet  $v$ -generalized metric space.

In 2018, Sahin, Kargin and Coban [59] derived concept of neutrosophic triplet partial metric space, neutrosophic partial metric space.

**Theorem (1.1):**-The definition of NTVGM, if  $u'_1 = u'_2 = \dots = u'_v$ , then every neutrosophic triplet  $v$ -generalized metric implies a neutrosophic triplet metric.

**Proof.**  $((Y, \diamond), d_v)$  be an NTVGMS. It is clear that

- 1)  $a \diamond b \in Y$ ;
- 2)  $d_v(a, b) \geq 0$ ;
- 3) If  $a = b, d_v(a, b) = 0$ ;
- 4)  $d_v(a, b) = d_v(b, a)$

above four conditions are equal in NTVGMS and NTMS. Then for condition (5), we take  $u'_1 = u'_2 = \dots = u'_v$ . By definition of NTVGM, If there exists elements  $u'_1, \dots, u'_v \in Y$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}d_v(x, y) &\leq d_v(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(u'_v)), \\d_v(x, u'_2) &\leq d_v(x, u'_2 \diamond \text{neut}(u'_1)), \\d_v(u'_1, u'_3) &\leq d_v(u'_1, u'_3 \diamond \text{neut}(u'_2)), \\&\vdots\end{aligned}$$

$$d_v(u'_{v-1}, y) \leq d_v(u'_{v-1}, y \diamond \text{neut}(u'_v));$$

Then,

$$d_v(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(u'_v)) \leq d_v(x, u'_1) + d_v(u'_1, u'_2) + \dots + d_v(u'_{v-1}, u'_v) + d_v(u'_v, y).$$

We can take  $u'_1 = u'_3 = \dots = u'_v = u'_2$  since for  $u'_1 = u'_2 = \dots = u'_v$ . Thus, we can take  $d_v(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(u'_v)) = d_v(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(u'_2))$  and

$$d_v(x, u'_1) + d_v(u'_1, u'_2) + \dots + d_v(u'_{v-1}, u'_v) + d_v(u'_v, y) = d_v(x, u'_2) + d_v(u'_2, u'_2) + \dots + d_v(u'_2, u'_2) + d_v(u'_2, y).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} d_v(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(u'_2)) &\leq d_v(x, u'_1) + d_v(u'_1, u'_2) + \dots + d_v(u'_{v-1}, u'_v) + d_v(u'_v, y) \\ &= d_v(x, u'_2) + d_v(u'_2, u'_2) \dots + d_v(u'_2, u'_2) + d_v(u'_2, y) \\ &= d_v(x, u'_2) + 0 + \dots + 0 + d_v(u'_2, y) \\ &= d_v(x, u'_2) + d_v(u'_2, y). \end{aligned}$$

As a result,  $(X, \diamond, d_v)$  is a neutrosophic triplet metric space.

**Theorem (1.2):-** Let  $((Y, \diamond), d_v)$  be an NTVGM and there exists  $u'_1, \dots, u'_v \in Y$  s. t.

$$\begin{aligned} d_v(x, y) &\leq d_v(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(u'_v)), \\ d_v(x, u'_2) &\leq d_v(x, u'_2 \diamond \text{neut}(u'_1)), \\ d_v(u'_1, u'_3) &\leq d_v(u'_1, u'_3 \diamond \text{neut}(u'_2)), \\ &\vdots \\ d_v(u'_{v-1}, y) &\leq d_v(u'_{v-1}, y \diamond \text{neut}(u'_v)), \end{aligned}$$

Where  $x, u'_1, \dots, u'_v, y$  are all different. If  $\{a_n\}$  is  $k$ -Cauchy sequence and converges to  $z \in \{a_n\}$ , then  $\{a_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, where  $a_n$  are all different.

**Proof.** We assume that  $\{a_n\}$  is  $k$ -Cauchy sequence and converges to  $z_1 \in \{a_n\}$ . So,  $d_v(\{a_n\}, \{a_{n+1+lm}\}) < \varepsilon$  ( $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). If we take  $l = 0$ , then

$$d_v(\{a_n\}, \{a_{n+1}\}) < \varepsilon \tag{1}$$

and

$$d_v(z, \{a_n\}) < \varepsilon \tag{2}$$

Also, we assume that there exist  $u'_1, \dots, u'_v \in N$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_v(x, y) &\leq d_v(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(u'_v)), \\ d_v(x, u'_2) &\leq d_v(x, u'_2 \diamond \text{neut}(u'_1)), \\ d_v(u'_1, u'_3) &\leq d_v(u'_1, u'_3 \diamond \text{neut}(u'_2)), \\ &\vdots \\ d_v(u'_{v-1}, y) &\leq d_v(u'_{v-1}, y \diamond \text{neut}(u'_v)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $x, u'_1, \dots, u'_v, y$  all are different. Thus, from (v) in Definition of NTVGM, (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_v(\{a_n\}, \{a_m\}) &\leq d_v(\{a_n\}, z_1) \\
 &\quad + d_v(z_1, \{a_{n+v-1}\}) \\
 &\quad + d_v(\{a_{n+v-1}\}, \{a_{n+v-2}\}) \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 d_v(\{a_{m+1}\}, \{a_m\}) &\leq (v-1)\varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{a_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

**Theorem (1.3):**—Let  $((N, \diamond), d_v)$  be a neutrosophic triplet  $v$ -generalized metric space and there exist  $u'_1, \dots, u'_v \in N$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_v(x, y) &\leq d_v(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(u'_v)) \text{ and} \\
 d_v(x, u'_2) &\leq d_v(x, u'_2 \diamond \text{neut}(u'_1)), \\
 d_v(u'_1, u'_3) &\leq d_v(u'_1, u'_3 \diamond \text{neut}(u'_2)), \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 d_v(u'_{v-1}, y) &\leq d_v(u'_{v-1}, y \diamond \text{neut}(u'_v)).
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $\{a_n\}$  is sequence and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_v(a_k, a_{k+1}) < \infty, k = 1 < \infty$  then  $\{a_n\}$  is  $k$ -Cauchy sequence, where  $a_n$  are all different and  $x, u'_1, \dots, u'_v, y$  are all different.

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\sum_{K=1}^{\infty} d_v(a_m, a_{m+1}) < \varepsilon$  since for  $\sum_{K=1}^{\infty} d_v(a_k, a_{k+1}) < \infty$ . Now we show that

$$d_v(\{a_n\}, \{a_{n+1+lm}\}) < \varepsilon.$$

If  $l = 0$ , then it is clear that  $(\{a_n\}, \{a_{n+1}\}) < \varepsilon$  since for

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} d_v(a_r, a_{r+1}) < \varepsilon.$$

We assume that  $l \neq 0$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_v(\{a_n\}, \{a_{n+1+lm}\}) &\leq d_v(\{a_n\}, \{a_{n+1}\}) + d_v(\{a_{n+1}\}, \{a_{n+2}\}) \\
 &\quad + d_v(\{a_{n+2}\}, \{a_{n+3}\}) + \dots + d_v(\{a_{(n+1+lm-1)\}, a_{(n+1+lm)\}) \\
 &= \sum_{r=n}^{n+1+lm-1} d_v(a_r, a_{r+1}) < \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} d_v(a_r, a_{r+1}) < \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $a_n$  is  $k$ -Cauchy sequence.

**Theorem (1.4):**—Take a non-empty  $S$  set and power set of  $S$  is  $P(S)$  and  $m(A)$  be cardinal of  $A \in P(S)$  and  $(P(S), \diamond, d)$  be a NT metric space (NTMS). If there exists any  $K \in P(S)$  such that  $m(B \diamond \text{neut}(K)) = m(B)$ . Then,  $((P(S), \diamond), p_N)$  is a NTPMS such that

$$p_N(A, B) = \frac{d(A, B) + m(A) + m(B)}{2}.$$

**Proof.**

$$(i) \quad p_N(A, A) = \frac{d(A, A) + m(A) + m(A)}{2} = m(A) \leq \frac{d(A, B) + m(A) + m(B)}{2}$$

$= p_N(A, B)$ , since for  $d(A, A) = 0$ .

$0 \leq p_N(A, A) \leq p_N(A, B)$  for  $A, B \in P(S)$ .

(ii) If  $p_N(A, A) = p_N(A, B) = (B, B) = 0$ , then

(iii)  $\frac{d(A, A) + m(A) + m(A)}{2} = \frac{d(A, B) + m(A) + m(B)}{2} = \frac{d(B, B) + m(B) + m(B)}{2} = 0$  and

$d(A, B) + m(A) + m(B) = 0$ . Where,  $m(A) = 0, m(B) = 0$  and  $p_N(A, B) = 0$ .

Thus,  $A = B = \emptyset$ .

(iv)  $p_N(A, B) = \frac{d(A, B) + m(A) + m(B)}{2} = \frac{d(B, A) + m(B) + m(A)}{2} = p_N(B, A)$ , since for

$p_N(A, B) = p_N(B, A)$ .

(v) We suppose that there exists a  $K \in P(S)$  such that  $(B \diamond \text{neut}(K)) = m(B)$  and  $p_N(A, B) \leq p_N(A, B \diamond \text{neut}(K))$ .

Thus,

$$\frac{d(A, B) + m(A) + m(B)}{2} \leq \frac{d(A, B \diamond \text{neut}(K)) + m(A) + m(B \diamond \text{neut}(K))}{2} \quad (1)$$

From (1),  $p_N(A, B) \leq p_N(A, B \diamond \text{neut}(K))$ . Since  $(P(S), \diamond, d)$  is a NTMS,

$$d(A, B \diamond \text{neut}(K)) \leq d(A, K) + d(K, B) \quad (2)$$

From (1), (2) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(A, B) + m(A) + m(B)}{2} &\leq \frac{d(A, B \diamond \text{neut}(K)) + m(A) + m(B \diamond \text{neut}(K))}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{d(A, K) + d(K, B) + m(A) + m(B)}{2} \\ &= \frac{d(A, K) + m(A) + m(K)}{2} + \frac{d(K, B) + m(B) + m(K)}{2} - m(K). \end{aligned}$$

Where,  $p_N(K, K) = m(K)$ .

Thus,

$$p_N(A, B \diamond \text{neut}(K)) \leq (A, K) + (K, B) - (K, K).$$

Hence,

$$((P(S), \diamond), p_N) \text{ is a NTPMS.}$$

**Theorem (1.5):-**Let  $(X, \diamond)$  be a NT set,  $k \in R^+$  and  $((X, \diamond), d_T)$  be a NTMS. Then;  $((X, \diamond), p_N)$  is a NTPMS such that  $(x, y) = (x, y) + k, \forall x, y \in X$ .

**Proof.**

(i) Since for  $(x, x) = 0$ ,

$$0 \leq p_N(x, x) = d_T(x, x) + k = k \leq p_N(x, y) = d_T(x, y) + k. \text{ Thus;}$$

(ii)  $0 \leq p_N(x, x) \leq p_N(x, y)$ .

(iii) There does not exist  $x, y \in A$  such that

$$p_N(x, x) = p_N(x, y) = p_N(y, y) = 0 \text{ since for } k \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and } d_T(x, x) = 0.$$

(iv)  $p_N(x, y) = d_T(x, y) + k = d_T(y, x) + k$ , since for  $d_T(x, y) = d_T(y, x)$ .

(v) Suppose that there exists any element  $q \in A$  such that

$$p_N(x, y) \leq p_N(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(q)). \text{ Then } p_N(x, y) + k \leq p_N(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(q)) + k.$$

$$\text{Thus, } p_N(x, y) \leq p_N(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(q)) \quad (3)$$

Also,

$$p_N(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(q)) \leq p_N(x, q) + p_N(q, y) \quad (4)$$

Since for  $((A, \diamond), d_T)$  is a NTMS.

From (3) and (4),

$$\begin{aligned} p_N(x, y) &\leq p_N(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(q)) = d_T(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(q)) + k \\ &\leq d_T(x, q) + p_N(q, y) + k \\ &= p_N(x, q) + p_N(q, y) - k. \text{ Where, } p_N(q, q) = k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$p_N(x, y \diamond \text{neut}(q)) \leq p_N(x, q) + p_N(q, y) - (q, q).$$

Hence,  $((A, \diamond), p_N)$  is a NTPMS.

**Theorem (1.6):**-Let  $((X, \diamond), p_N)$  be a NTPMS,  $\{a_n\}$  be a convergent sequence in NTPMS and  $p_N(\{a_m\}, \{a_n\}) \leq p_N(\{a_m\}, \{a_n\} \diamond \text{neut}(u))$  for any  $u \in A$ . Then  $\{a_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in NTPMS.

**Proof.** It is clear that

$$p_N(u, \{x_n\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + p_N(u, u) \quad (5)$$

for each  $M \leq n$

$$p_N(u, \{x_m\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + p_N(u, u) \quad (6)$$

for each  $M \leq m$

Because  $\{x_n\}$  is a convergent. Then, we suppose that

$$p_N(\{x_m\}, \{x_n\}) \leq p_N(\{x_m\}, \{x_n\} \diamond \text{neut}(a)) \text{ for any } u \in A. \text{ It is clear that for } n, m \geq M,$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_N(\{x_m\}, \{x_n\}) &\leq p_N(\{x_m\}, \{x_n\} \diamond \text{neut}(u)) \\ &\leq p_N(u, \{x_n\}) + p_N(u, \{x_m\}) - p_N(u, u) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Because  $((A, \diamond), p_N)$  is a NTPMS. From (5), (6) and (7),

$$p_N(\{x_m\}, \{x_n\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + p_N(u, u) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + p_N(u, u) - p_N(u, u) = \varepsilon + p_N(u, u).$$

Thus;  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $((A, \diamond), p_N)$ .

**Theorem (1.7):**-For each contraction  $m$  over a complete NTPMS  $((X, \diamond), p_N)$ , there exists a unique  $a$  in  $X$  such that  $a = m(a)$ . Also,  $p_N(a, a) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $m$  be a contraction for  $((A, \diamond), p_N)$  complete NTPMS and  $x_n = m(x_{n-1})$  and  $x_0 \in A$  be a unique element. Also, we can take

$$p_N(x_n, x_k) \leq p_N(x_n, x_k \diamond \text{neut}(x_{n-1})) \quad (8)$$

Since for  $m$  is a contraction over  $((A, \diamond), p_N)$  complete NTPMS. Then,

$$p_N(x_2, x_1) = p_N(m(x_1), m(x_0)) \leq c \cdot p_N(x_1, x_0) \text{ and}$$

$$p_N(x_3, x_2) = p_N(m(x_2), m(x_1)) \leq c \cdot p_N(x_2, x_1) \leq c^2 \cdot p_N(x_1, x_0).$$

From mathematical induction,  $n \geq m$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} p_N(x_{m+1}, x_m) &= p_N(m(x_m), m(x_{m-1})) \\ &\leq c \cdot p_N(x_m, x_{m-1}) \leq c^m \cdot p_N(x_1, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus; from (8) and definition of NTPMS,

$$\begin{aligned} p_N(x_n, x_m) &\leq p_N(x_n, x_m \diamond \text{neut}(x_{n-1})) \\ &\leq (x_n, x_{n-1}) + p_N(x_{n-1}, x_m) - p_N(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) \\ &\leq c^{n-1} \cdot (x_1, x_0) + (x_{n-1}, x_m) - (x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) \\ &\leq c^{n-1} \cdot (x_1, x_0) + (x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) + \dots + (x_m, x_{m-1}) \\ &\leq (c^{n-1} + c^{n-2} + \dots + c^{m-1} + c^m) \cdot (x_1, x_0) - \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} p_N(x_i, x_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} c^i p_N(x_1, x_0) - \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} p_N(x_i, x_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} c^i p_N(x_1, x_0) - \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} p_N(x_0, x_0) \\ &= \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} c^i p_N(x_1, x_0) - \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} p_N(x_i, x_i) \text{ (For } n, m \rightarrow \infty) \\ &= \frac{c^m}{1-c} p_N(x_1, x_0) + p_N(x_0, x_0) \rightarrow p_N(x_0, x_0) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Also  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Because  $((A, \diamond), p_N)$  is complete NTPMS. Thus;  $m(x_n) \rightarrow m(x)$  since for  $x_n = m(x_{n-1})$ ;  $m(x_n) = x_{n+1} \rightarrow x$ . Thus,  $m(x) = x$ . Suppose that  $m(x) = x$  or  $m(y) = y$  for  $x, y \in x_n$ . Where,

$$p_N(x, y) = p_N(m(x), m(y)) \leq c \cdot p_N(x, y). \quad p_N(x, y) > 0, c \geq 1.$$

It is a contradiction. Thus;  $p_N(x, y) = p_N(x, x) = p_N(y, y) = 0$  and  $x = y$ .

Therefore,  $p_N(x, x) = 0$ .

## References

- M. Sahin, A. Kargin and M. Ali Cobin, Fixed Point Theorem for Neutrosophic Triplet Partial Metric Space, Gaziantep Unniversity, Turkey, 27310.

- M. Sahin, A. Kargin, Neutrosophic triplet normed space. *Open Phys.* 2017, 15, 697–704. [CrossRef]
- M.A. Khamsi, Generalized metric spaces: A survey. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2015, 17, 455–475. [CrossRef]
- O. Kaleva, S. Seikkala, On fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 1984; 12:215–229.
- O. Kalseva and S. Seikkala, On fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 12, 215-229, (1984).
- P. K. Maji, Neutrosophic soft set, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 5(1), 157-168, (2013).
- P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, Fuzzy soft sets, *The journal of fuzzy mathematics*, 2001, 9(3), 589-602.
- P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, Intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets, *The journal of fuzzy mathematics*, 2001, 9(3), 677-692.
- P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, On intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets, *The journal of fuzzy mathematics*, 2004, 12(3), 669-683.
- P. Xia, L. Zhang, F. Li, Learning similarity with cosine similarity ensemble. *Inf. Sci.* 2015, 307, 39–52. [CrossRef]
- RR. Yager, Pythagorean fuzzy subsets, In: *Proc Joint IFSA World Congress and NAFIPS Annual Meeting*, Edmonton, Canada; (2013), 57–61.
- S. Broumi and F. Smarandache, Intuitionistic neutrosophic soft set, *Journal of Information and Computing Science*, 8(2), 130-140, (2013).
- S. Roy and T. K. Samanta, A note on fuzzy soft topological spaces, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, 3(2), 305-311, (2012).
- S.G. Matthews, Partial metric topology. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1994, 728, 183–197.
- T Bera, NK Mahapatra, Neutrosophic Soft Linear Spaces, *Fuzzy Information and Engineering* 2017; 9:299–324.
- T Bera, NK Mahapatra, Neutrosophic Soft Normed Linear Spaces, *neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2018; 23:52–71.
- T. Beaula and C. Gunaseeli, On fuzzy soft metric spaces, *Malaya J. Mat.*, 2(3), 197-202, (2014).
- T. Beaula and R. Raja, Completeness in fuzzy soft metric spaces, *Malaya J. Mat.*, 2015, S(2), 438-442.

- T. Bera and N. K. Mahapatra, (a, b, g)-cut of neutrosophic soft set and it's application to neutrosophic soft groups, *Asian Journal of Math. and Compt. Research*, 2016, 12(3), 160-178.
- T. Bera and N. K. Mahapatra, Introduction to neutrosophic soft groups, *Neutro-sophic Sets and Systems*, 13, (2016), 118-127, doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.570845.
- T. Bera and N. K. Mahapatra, Introduction to neutrosophic soft topological space, *OPSEARCH*, (March, 2017), DOI 10.1007/s12597-017-0308-7.
- T. Bera and N. K. Mahapatra, On neutrosophic normal soft groups, *Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math.*, 2(4), (2016), DOI 10.1007/s40819-016-0284-2.
- T. Bera and N. K. Mahapatra, On Neutrosophic Soft Metric Space, Bagan, W.B, Howrah-711312,
- T. Bera and N. K. Mahapatra, On neutrosophic soft rings, *OPSEARCH*, 1-25, (2016), DOI 10.1007/s12597-016-0273-6 .
- Turksen, Interval valued fuzzy sets based on normal forms, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20, (1986), 191–210.
- V. Gregori and S. Romaguera, On completion of fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 130, 399-404, (2002).
- V. Gregori and S. Romaguera, Some properties of fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 115, 485-489, (2000).
- W.B. Vasantha, F. Smarandache, *Some Neutrosophic Algebraic Structures and Neutrosophic N-Algebraic Structures*; Hexis: Phoenix, AZ, USA, 2006.
- Y. Guo, A. Sengür, J. Ye, A novel image thresholding algorithm based on neutrosophic similarity score. *Measurement* 2014, 58, 175–186. [CrossRef]
- Y. Li, P. Liu, Y. Chen, Some single valued neutrosophic number heronian mean operators and their application in multiple attribute group decision making. *Informatica* 2016, 27, 85–110. [CrossRef]
- Z. Lu, J. Ye, Single-valued neutrosophic hybrid arithmetic and geometric aggregation operators and their decision-making method. *Information* 2017, 8, 84. [CrossRef]